

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/770,397	01/29/2001	Susumu Senshu	202442US6	6175
22850	7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			KLIMACH, PAULA W	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2135	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
065' 4-4' 0	09/770,397	SENSHU, SUSUMU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paula W. Klimach	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 S</u>	Sentember 2005					
	s action is non-final.	•				
,	this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
ologica in accordance with the practice ander a	ex parto quayio, 1000 C.B. 11, 1	3.3.210.				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	).	i ·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
,	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	é Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		<del>,</del>				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	** 				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ểd.				
•						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2135

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendment filed on 09/22/2004. Applicant amended Claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 10-11, 13, 15-17, 19, 21-22, and 24. The amendment filed on 09/22/2004 have been entered and made of record. Therefore, presently pending claims are 1-25.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/22/2004 have been fully.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al (6,301,663) in view of Chou (6,167,136) and further in view of the article by Kaplan ("IBM Cryptolopes<sup>TM</sup>, SuperDistribution and Digital Rights Management").

In reference to claims 1, 6, and 10, Kato discloses a method and system for protecting against unauthorized copy of multimedia (abstract). The method comprises the steps of: generating independent write identification information for each recording of the digital data (column 6 lines 20-24). Kato further discloses encrypting data identification information of the digital data (column 6 lines 20-24). The Disc key performs the function of the write

Art Unit: 2135

identification information because it identifies the disc and therefore the information on the disc. The disc key is encrypted by the master key. Therefore the identification is encrypted. The method further comprises recording at least the encrypted data identification information and data control information, and the write identification information to the recording medium. The watermark is embedded on the audio data and the audio data is recorded on the media (column 9 lines 28-30 and Figs 4, 7, and 10). The watermark contains the master key (column 9 lines 44-47) and the copy control (data control) (column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 1 and column 9 lines 31-38). The encrypted disc key is also recorded on the disk (column 9 lines 59-62).

Although Kato discloses recording the copy control and the encryption of the disc key, therefore the potential to store and encrypt the copy control, Kato does not expressly disclose encrypting data control information by the use of the write identification information.

Chou discloses a system that has a serial number (data identification information) and an owner-designation field (control information) are encrypted (Fig. 7 in combination with column 5 lines 39-41).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt the serial number and the owner-designation field as in Chou in the system of Kato. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because encryption discourages fraud and increases the security of digital data.

The combination of Chou and Kato do not disclose obtaining a recording medium ID associated with the recording medium; and encrypting the write identification information by use of the recording medium ID.

Art Unit: 2135

Kaplan discloses a system that obtaining a master key to encrypt the keys of the cryptolope (page 3; Key records; paragraph 1). The master key corresponds to the recording medium id. The master key is obtained from the clearing center (page 4 paragraph 2). The identification information is encrypted using the recording medium ID (page 3; Key records; paragraph 1). The document keys correspond to the identification information; these are encrypted using the master key (recording medium ID).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt the encryption key as in the Cryptolopes of Kaplan in the system of Kato. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because this means that royalty/licensing clearing centers do not have to maintain a database of all documents keys, instead, each clearing center maintains a small database of master keys.

In reference to claims 2, 7, and 11, wherein the digital data is encrypted by the data identification information, and the encrypted digital data is recorded to the recording medium along with the encrypted data identification information and data control information (column 7 lines 34-36).

In reference to claims 3, 8, and 12, wherein the data control information includes copy control information for the digital data (column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 1).

In reference to claims 4, 9, and 13, wherein the encrypted data identification information and data control information, and the write identification information (column 10 lines 48-52) are encrypted by the use of recording medium unique to the recording medium and recorded to the recording medium (column 6 lines 1-42).

Art Unit: 2135

In reference to claims 5 and 14, wherein a data processing unit for encrypting the data identification information and data control information and a data recording unit for recording data to the recording medium are mounted separately, and the write identification information is generated at the data recording unit, and the generated write identification information is encrypted and transmitted to the data processing unit (Fig. 1).

In reference to claim 15, 19, and 22, Kato discloses a method and system for protecting against unauthorized copy of multimedia (abstract) comprising the steps of: reproducing encrypted data identification information and write identification information, which are encrypted by the use of recording medium identification information from the recording medium (Fig. 2 part S13 in combination with column 5 lines 57-62); decrypting the encrypted data identification information and data by the use of the write identification information, and taking out the data identification information of the digital data and data control information (Fig. 2 part S13 and S16 in combination with column 7 line 66 to column 8 line 6).

Although Kato discloses recording the copy control and the encryption of the disc key, therefore the potential to store and encrypt the copy control, Kato does not expressly disclose encrypting data control information by the use of the write identification information.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt the copy control in the system of Kato. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because encryption discourages fraud and increases the security of digital data.

Art Unit: 2135

The combination of Chou and Kato do not disclose obtaining a recording medium ID associated with the recording medium; and encrypting the write identification information by use of the recording medium ID.

Kaplan discloses a system that obtaining a master key to encrypt the keys of the cryptolope (page 3; Key records; paragraph 1). The master key corresponds to the recording medium id. The master key is obtained from the clearing center (page 4 paragraph 2). The identification information is encrypted using the recording medium ID (page 3; Key records; paragraph 1). The document keys correspond to the identification information; these are encrypted using the master key (recording medium ID). The master key is unique to the particular collection of documents (page 9 paragraph 1).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt the encryption key as in the Cryptolopes of Kaplan in the system of Kato. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because this means that royalty/licensing clearing centers do not have to maintain a database of all documents keys, instead, each clearing center maintains a small database of master keys.

In reference to claims 16, 20 and 23, wherein the digital data is encrypted by the data identification information and recorded to the recording medium, and the encrypted digital data is reproduced from the recording medium along with the encrypted data identification information and data control information, and the write identification information (column 7 lines 34-36).

In reference to claims 17, 21, and 24, wherein the encrypted data identification information and data control information, and the write identification information (column 10

Art Unit: 2135

lines 48-52) are encrypted by the use of the recording medium identification information peculiar to the recording medium (column 6 lines 1-42) and recorded to the recording medium, and the recording medium identification information is reproduced from the recording medium, and the data encrypted by the recording medium identification information are decrypted by the use of the recording medium identification information, and the encrypted data identification information and data control information, and the write identification information are taken out (Fig. 1).

In reference to claim 18, wherein a data processing unit for encrypting the data identification information and data control information and a data recording unit for recording data to the recording medium are mounted separately, and the write identification information is generated at the data recording unit, and the generated write identification information is encrypted and transmitted to the data processing unit (Fig. 1).

In reference to claim 25, wherein said generating step includes generating the write identification information with a random number generator (part 113 Fig. 10).

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2135

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W. Klimach whose telephone number is (571) 272-3854. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PWK Monday, November 14, 2005 primary Examiner

Art Unit 2135